### The great capricorn and ...

# ... oaks as promoters for biodiversity

Thorsten Assmann<sup>1</sup>, Jörn Buse<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Drees<sup>1</sup>, Britta Eggers<sup>1</sup> & Andreas Schuldt<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Ecology, Leuphana University Lüneburg
- <sup>2</sup> University of Koblenz-Landau Dep. of Ecosystem Analysis

UNIVERSITÄT LÜNEBURG







### The great capricorn ...

- ... Cerambyx cerdo
  - ... is a protected saproxylic species (European Habitats' Directive Natura 2000, Appendices II and IV),
  - ... a charismatic, large insect with
    - sympathy in the public, and
  - ... shows a strong decline in both number of populations and population sizes:
    - North-Rhine Westphalia: extinct; Schleswig-Holstein: 1 colonised tree; Lower Saxony: 2 populations







Assmann et al.: Great capricorn and oaks Kecskemét, Hungary, 06.10.2011

5th Symposium and Workshop on the Conservation of Saproxylic beetles, Lüneburg, 14.-16.06. 2008, organised by Jörn Buse

### Overview

To stop the decline we need information about its habitat requirements.

Habitat distribution model

- Do other (endangered) species profit from C. cerdo's habitat?
  - Mark-recapture experiments with ground beetles
  - Cerambyx cerdo as a habitat engineer
- Open questions







Assmann et al.: Great capricorn and oaks Kecskemét, Hungary, 06.10.2011

### Presence/absence data

- 10 predictor variables (e.g. canopy vitability; understory vegetation; position in landscape: solitary, woodland edge, avenues; distance to next colonised tree; sun index; bark depth)
- > 267 oaks ("Gartower Eichenallee", Lower Saxony)
- > 144 oaks (Floodplain area of Elbe river, Saxony-Anhalt)

Buse et al. 2007. Biological Conservation 137:372-381.

Table 1 – Predictor variables measured from each of the oak trees									
	Classes	df	Number of cases in presences		Number of cases in absences				
Categorical predictor variables									
Canopy vitality	0 = mostly dead; 1 = partly dead; 2 = vital	2	0 = 14; 1 = 39; 2 = 10		0 = 3; 1 = 16; 2 = 135				
Trunk vitality	0 = dead parts without bark; 1 = entire bark vital	1	0 = 19; 1 = 44		0 = 7; 1 = 147				
Oak sap	0 = no sap locations; 1 = sap location (s)	1	0 = 30; 1 = 33		0 = 137; 1 = 17				
Understorey vegetation	0 = half trunk length and higher; 1 = lower vegetation	1	0 = 12; 1 = 51		0 = 27; 1 = 127				
Position in landscape	0 = solitary; 1 = woodland edge; 2 = patchy site; 3 = woodland; 4 = avenue	4	0 = 9; 1 = 7; 2 = 27; 3 = 1; 4 = 19		0 = 14; 1 = 12; 2 = 48; 3 = 25; 4 = 55				
	Units		Mean of presences	SD	Mean of absences	SD			
Continuous predictor variables									
Trunk diameter (DBH*)	Measured at breast height in metre	1	1.22	0.36	0.96	0.31			
Distance uncolonised	in $[\log_{10} m + 1]$	1	1.02	0.37	0.87	0.29			
Distance colonised	in [log10 m + 1]	1	1.32	0.51	1.58	0.81			
Sun index	[0 = heavily shaded, no direct sun] to [12 = sun from all directions]	1	8.05	3.58	5.79	3.82			
Bark depth	Mean of three independent measures in mm	1	32.03	12.01	24.08	8.52			
- Birmate at her									

a Diameter at breast height.





# Habitat distribution model

- Presence/absence data
  - Maximum bivariate correlation between predictors is low.

 $(r_s=0.384, Spearman rank correlation).$ 

Logistic regression with backwards, stepwise variable selection.

Buse et al. 2007. Biological Conservation 137:372-381



Sun Index Bark depth Oak sap Distance to next colonised tree

Fig. 2 – Contribution of the individual predictors to the final model response (independent effects calculated by hierarchical partitioning with respect to the log likelihood as goodness-of-fit criterion).

Table 2 – Parameter estimates of the final logistic regression model (residual deviance = 166.55 on 211 degrees of freedom, null deviance = 258.97 on 215 degrees of freedom)

	Variable	Regression coefficient	SE	р		
$\beta_1$	Oak sap	2.636	0.457	< 0.0001		
$\beta_2$	Distance to next colonised tree	-1.081	0.357	0.0025		
$\beta_3$	Sun index	0.211	0.058	0.0003		
$\beta_4$	Bark depth	0.102	0.022	< 0.0001		
$\beta_0$	Intercept	-4.491	0.921	< 0.0001		
Corresponding response surfaces are shown in Fig. 1						

Corresponding response surfaces are shown in Fig. 1.





# Habitat distribution model

- Validation of the model (Lower Saxony -> Saxony-Anhalt)
  - The final model results in slightly better performance within the test data as the training data.
  - The spatial validation reveals the general transferability of our model.

Buse et al. 2007. Biological Conservation 137:372-381







the spatial validation on the test dataset							
Parameter	Training data	Test data					
	(n - 210)	(n 14 <u>4</u> )					
AUC with 95% CI	0.87 [0.82-0.92]	0.87 [0.83–0.93]					
Sensitivity	0.79	0.80					
Specificity	0.78	0.82					
Correct classification rate	0.78	0.81					
Карра	0.51	0.61					

AUC (Area Under Curve) is provided as a threshold independent criterion. Threshold dependent criteria are given with respect to  $P_{\text{fair}}$  which is the classification threshold where the performance for presences (sensitivity) and absences (specificity) is nearly balanced (see also Table 4).

# Habitat distribution model

- The realised niche:
  - Surprisingly the sun exposition is of subordinate but significant importance.
  - Females prefer protected deep splits in the bark for oviposition Döhring 1955. Z angew Zool 42:251-373
  - Food resource for *C. cerdo*; sap spots are doors for disease infections transmitted by sap visiting insects Ambourn et al. 2005. Plant Disease 89:1067-1076
  - Metapopulation dynamics?



<u>ی</u>

4

80

23

2

ffects (%)

ependent

Sun Index Bark depth Oak sap Distance to next colonised tree

Fig. 2 – Contribution of the individual predictors to the final model response (independent effects calculated by hierarchical partitioning with respect to the log likelihood as goodness-of-fit criterion).

Alternative model: trunk diameter (positive correlation of (endangered) saproxylic species with trunk diameter)





# The ideal habitat?

Semi-open habitats with (old) oaks showing

- > sap spots,
- deep bark splits (old oaks with big trunk diameter), and
- $\succ$  short distances to the next colonized trees.

Buse et al. 2007. Biological Conservation 137: 372-381.







### Semi-open habitats

- Semi-open habitats provide specific requirements for stenotopic species and they can act as connecting structures.
- Classical habitat corridors (e.g. woodlands, hedgerows or heathlands) fragment the surrounding habitats!



- A dilemma in conservation biology: connecting landscape structures fragment other types of habitats, at least for stenotopic species!
- Can stenotopic species of both woodland and open habitat (heathland) cross simultanuously semi-open habitats?

UNIVERSITÄT LÜNEBURG







# Semi-open habitat concept

- > Mark-recapture experiment, open system, 143 pitfall traps
- Heathland and woodland species (Poecilus lepidus, Carabus auronitens, Abax parallelepipedus)



Species of both groups are able to cross the semi-open corridor!

Eggers et al. 2010. Conservation Biology 24:256-266.



Figure 2. Routes (linear distances) of different, recaptured, individual specimens of P. lepidus, A. parallelepipedus, and C. violaceus in the semi-open babitat (n, number of selected specimens in the respective part of the figure; woodland, dark green; semi-open habitat 2, light green; semi-open habitat 1, light purple; beathland, purple; small dots, installed traps; large dots connected by solid lines, recaptured specimens). We defined the babitat by the vegetation in a 5-m circumference around every trap.

# Semi-open habitat concept

- We found stenotopic species of both ecological groups in the same pitfall trap and we are able to detect a lot of crossings for both stenotopic woodland and heathland species.
- Semi-open habitats can not only support endangered saproxylic species but can help to cut the Gordian knot of fragmentation-corridor dilemma!

Eggers et al. 2010. Conservation Biology 24:256-266.





- Ecosystem engineering:
  - nontrophic interactions of an organism that alters the physical state of its environment and affects other species.
- Conservation biology:
  - functional role of some species is of interest because persistence of them may be necessary for maintaining an entire assemblage with many (endangered) species.



13/25





"Gartower Eichenallee"

- 10 colonized and 10 uncolonized trees (randomly chosen from the 216 oaks studied for the habitat suitability model)
- 7,225 individuals
- > 181 species
- 41 families
  Buse et al. 2008.
  Conservation
  Biology
  22:329-337.





14/25



Number of saproxylic beetle species captured on trees that were colonized (shaded) and uncolonized by C. cerdo and that are recorded in the national Red List of Germany. Buse et al. 2008.

**Conservation Biology** 22:329-337.



Figure 2. Number of saproxylic beetle species captured on trees that were colonized and uncolonized by C. cerdo that are recorded in the national Red List of Germany and classified according to the World Conservation Union criteria as critically endangered, endangered, or vulnerable. Shaded bars indicate colonized trees (n = 10), and unshaded bars represent uncolonized trees (n = 10). The borizontal lines show the median, 25% and 75% quartiles, and the dashed lines indicate the range of the data. Differences were tested with the Wilcoxon rank-sum test: critically endangered, p = 0.118; endangered, p = 0.003; vulnerable, p = 0.001 (\*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; n.s. = not significant).





- Number of saproxylic beetle species per feeding guild found on oaks colonized (shaded) and uncolonized by *C. cerdo*.
- > 5 of 6 feeding guilds exhibit more species on

colonised oaks. Buse et al. 2008 Conservation Biology 22:329-337.





Figure 3. Number of saproxylic beetle species per feeding guild found on oaks colonized (shaded, n = 10) and uncolonized (unshaded, n = 10) by C. cerdo. The horizontal lines show the median, 25% and 75% quartiles, and the dashed lines indicate the range of the data. Differences were tested with the Wilcoxon rank-sum test: mycetophagous, p = 0.001; necrophagous, p = 0.003; polyphagous, p = 0.011; succiphagous (feeding on sap streams), p = 0.357; xylophagous, p = 0.012; zoophagous, p = 0.015 (\*\*p < 0.01; \*p < 0.05; n.s. = not significant).

- Larvae and beetles create a large system of galleries:
  - emergence holes and places where underbark feeding occurred
  - > larval galleries inside the trunk (C. cerdo is the only Central
    - European beetle able to explore the inner parts of living old oak trees) Buse et al. 2008. Conservation Biology 22:329-337.





- 33 species (31 listed in Red Lists) were exclusively recorded from colonized oaks
  - 4 saproxylic beetle species were strongly (p<0.01, Fisher's exact tests) associated with colonized oaks:
- Tenebrio opaca
- Trichoferus pallidus







18/25

### Cerambyx cerdo as an ecosystem engineer?

- At least 9 of the exclusive species recorded from colonised oaks are primarily hunters on barkless parts of the trunk, e.g.
  - Colydium filiforme (Colydiidae)
  - Dermestoides sanguinicollis (Cleridae)
  - Corticeus spp. (Tenebrionidae).





### *Cerambyx cerdo* as an ecosystem engineer?

- Other predatory species recorded exclusively on colonized oaks:
  - > Ampedus cardinalis
  - Brachygonus megerlei
    (Elateridae)





- We suppose that the feeding habitats of *Cerambyx cerdo* (esp. the larval galleries and emergence holes) create numerous microhabitats necessary for the existence of numerous other insects (incl. many endangered species)
- Ecosystem Engineer
  - scientifically correct proof: experiment
  - ➤ ethic problem





21/25

### Cerambyx cerdo and Natura 2000

- In the context of insect species conservation the EU habitats' directive has been criticised by numerous authors.
  - Cardoso 2011. Insect Conservation and Diversity doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00140.x
- Listing C. cerdo as a species which is supposed to be an important habitat engineer fits well to the overall target of the EU's Directive:
  - Protection of biodiversity!

Insect Conservation and Diversity

Insect Conservation and Diversity (2011) doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00140.x

POLICY

Habitats Directive species lists: urgent need of revision

PEDRO CARDOSO<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC, USA and <sup>2</sup>Azorean Biodiversity Group – CITA-A, Universidade dos Açores, Angra do Heroísmo, Portugal





### **Open questions**

- Relationship between C. cerdo and fungi?
  - Biscogniauxia mediterranum in Quercus suber stands in Spanish Dehesas Martin et al. 2005. Forest Ecology and Management 216: 166-174.
- Sensitivity against pecticids (e.g., dimilin)
- Age structure of oak stands
- Environmental and sustainability education





# Summing up ...

- Cerambyx cerdo prefers oaks with
  - sap spots,
  - deep splits in the bark (old oaks),
  - $\succ$  short distances to the next colonised tree,
  - in an open or semi-open habitat.
- Other endangered species can profit from this type of habitat (e.g. woodland and heathland ground beetle species).



Cerambyx cerdo is supposed to be a habitat engineer necessary for maintaining an entire assemblage with many (endangered) saproxylic species associated with oaks.





Thank you very much for your attention!

# Was sind historisch alte Wälder?



- Amalieneiche (~1980, historische Aufnahme)
- Friederikeneiche (download)







### **Further information**

#### 27/25

#### Landschaftszustand um 1800





### Vielen Dank ...





# . für Ihre bzw. Eure Aufmerksamkeit!



